



Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating
Summer 2022

Yildiz, Altug - AY319

Introduction To Macroeconomics - 01:220:103:H1

Survey Form: *Standard SIRS

Enrollment: 8

Responses Received: 4

University-wide Instructor Questions

Weight of responses: 1=SD (Strongly Disagree), 2=D (Disagree), 3=N (Neutral), 4=A (Agree), 5=SA (Strongly Agree), Resp=Number of Student Responses

Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

	SD	D	N	A	SA	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
The instructor Altug Yildiz was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner.	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.75	4.50	4.55	4.41
The instructor Altug Yildiz responded effectively to student comments and questions.	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.75	4.71	4.71	4.53
The instructor Altug Yildiz generated interest in the course material.	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.67	4.48	4.56	4.39
The instructor Altug Yildiz had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.75	4.77	4.73	4.61
The instructor Altug Yildiz assigned grades fairly.	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.50	4.82	4.77	4.62
The instructional methods of Altug Yildiz encouraged student learning.	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.75	4.54	4.56	4.39

Teaching Effectiveness

Weight of responses: 1=P (Poor), 2=F (Fair), 3=A (Average), 4=G (Good), 5=E (Excellent), Resp=Number of Student Responses

Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

	P	F	A	G	E	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor Altug Yildiz as:	0	0	1	0	3	4	4.50	4.44	4.53	4.38

University-wide Course Questions

Weight of responses: 1=SD (Strongly Disagree), 2=D (Disagree), 3=N (Neutral), 4=A (Agree), 5=SA (Strongly Agree), Resp=Number of Student Responses

Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

	SD	D	N	A	SA	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
I learned a great deal in this course.	0	0	0	3	0	3	4.00	4.30	4.42	4.32
I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.	0	1	0	2	0	3	3.33	3.85	3.91	4.02

	SD	D	N	A	SA	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
Given the content and level of the course, the course workload was manageable.	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.50	4.56	4.55	4.41
The course site used for this course, whether in Canvas, Sakai, or Blackboard, was well organized.	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.25	4.63	4.54	4.47
The instructions given for assignments, exams, quizzes, and other course activities were clear and easy to understand.	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.75	4.60	4.55	4.33

Course Quality

Weight of responses: 1=P (Poor), 2=F (Fair), 3=A (Average), 4=G (Good), 5=E (Excellent), Resp=Number of Student Responses

Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

	P	F	A	G	E	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
I rate the overall quality of the course as:	0	0	1	0	2	3	4.33	4.26	4.40	4.29

What do you like best about this course?

These comments are intended for all instructors.

Comments
I liked the ideas that were thought and how they can be applied to the real world.

If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?

These comments are intended for all instructors.

Comments
I would probably add in more practice problems during class to promote more student engagement.

In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor Altug Yildiz encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?

These comments are unique to the instructor Altug Yildiz.

Comments
This was my first economics course ever and never really thought I would be into the course but I actually really enjoyed it and found it interesting.
good

Other comments or suggestions:

These comments are intended for all instructors.

Comments
The teacher was amazing and did a great job teaching the course